

Regional Advisory Council Meeting

November 2nd, 2017 2:00PM

Council Members in Attendance

Steve Weis	Tory Maye	Joell Kight	Gerald Smith
Ben Duncan	Tim Estes	Chris Akers	Terry Hall
Sharon Brady	Steve McMurrer	John Powers	Michele Surdam
Jeff Shupe	Kurt Plowman	Jason Malloy	Stephen Williams

Staff in Attendance

Dorothy Spears-Dean Steve Marzolf

1) Call Meeting to Order

The Regional Advisory Council meeting was called to order by Mr. Jason Malloy at 2:00PM.

2) Approval of the October 10th Minutes

Mr. Malloy called for the approval of the October 10th minutes. There was a motion for approval, and it passed without opposition.

3) Update on Statewide NG9-1-1 Solutions Provider

Ms. Spears-Dean gave an update on the status of a statewide NG9-1-1 solutions provider. At the September board meeting, ISP staff advised the Board that Staff is leaning toward recommending using the Fairfax contact as a solution. A RFP was not recommended for several reasons. No action was taken by the Board, but members requested additional information. AT&T and West have deployments in 16 different states with ESInet and NGCS. They have experience working with carriers of varying sizes. The existing Virginia PSAPs with an A911 contract with West are allowed to migrate to the new solution penalty free. PSAPs who have West hosted call handling will require additional development. There was Staff/Council discussion about existing A911 customers, specifically Pulaski.

Ms. Spears-Dean reviewed the Fairfax Contract award criteria. A one page summary was provided that outlined the award considerations based on capabilities and advantages. Interconnection to the AT&T West solution was discussed; choosing a solution provider other than AT&T is an option, but their ESInet must provide the same functionality identified in the Fairfax contract. AT&T has provided an ESInet to ESInet Interconnection Specification document. Fairfax has provided a definition for interoperability with a summary of requirements for an Opt-out ESInet solution. Staff still needs to know all charges that AT&T or its partners would charge a carrier to interconnect to or provide calls through the proposed solution. There was Staff/Council discussion.

AT&T deployment strategies were reviewed. The three preferred transition options are by selective router pair, by population, or by population centers. Special construction costs were discussed. Amounts vary widely, and where possible Staff will explore ways to leverage the investment in the network with other state & local needs. GIS Data preparation work was reviewed. Three of those tasks are NG9-1-1 transitional data management, GeoMSAG replacement service, and GIS routing accuracy report. The initial estimate of the NG9-1-1 transition for a statewide solution provider was \$69 million. The current AT&T pricing is \$19,484,387 million for special construction. \$448,000 in one-time costs (\$4,000 per PSAP). \$10,749,443 for annual recurring costs. \$54,195,215 for a five year total. The next steps are for a general plan for a NG9-1-1 solutions provider to be completed in November. The Board would be updated at the next meeting and the plan would be socialized throughout December. The intent would be for the Board to vote on a plan in January. Staff would be working with PSAPs on specific NG9-1-1 readiness and deployment plans. Staff will develop Gantt charts that provide additional detail, legislative negotiations will continue, and 2020 NG9-1-1 funding guidelines will be developed at the December 7th PSAP Grant committee meeting.

4) Status Report on Tasks

Ms. Spears-Dean gave an update on five project plans; Standards Development, Communications Plan and Clearinghouse, COOP templates, Support Staff Development Criteria, GIS Technology, and PSAP NG9-1-1 Implementation Plans.

5) Public Comments

There were no public comments.

6) Next Meeting

The next meeting of the RAC will be on November 14th.

7) Adjourn

The meeting of the RAC ended at 3:05 PM.